

1.1.3] Assume  $R$  is an equivalence relation, so  $R$  is symmetric, reflexive, and transitive.  
(a) Prove  $[x] \cap [y] \neq \emptyset$  iff  $x \sim_R y$

Proof: ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $[x] \cap [y] \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there is some  $w \in [x] \cap [y]$ .

Since  $w \in [x]$ ,  $w \sim_R x$  and since  $w \in [y]$ ,  $w \sim_R y$ .

Since  $R$  symmetric, we know from  $w \sim_R x$  that  $x \sim_R w$ . Now we have  $x \sim_R w$  and  $w \sim_R y$ , so by transitive property, we have  $x \sim_R y$ .

( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $x \sim_R y$ . Since  $R$  is reflexive, we know that  $x \in [x]$  and  $y \in [y]$ . Since  $x \sim_R y$ , we conclude also that  $y \sim_R x$  by  $R$  being symmetric. Thus we see that both  $x \in [y]$  and  $y \in [x]$ . This means that  $\{x, y\} \subseteq [x] \cap [y]$ , so  $[x] \cap [y] \neq \emptyset$ .

We proved both directions of the iff, so the proof is complete. ■

(b) graded

1.1.4]  $[1] = \{\dots, -3, -1, 1, 3, \dots\}$

$[2] = \{\dots, -2, 0, 2, \dots\}$

Since  $\mathbb{Z} = [1] \cup [2]$ , we must have found all equivalence classes.