

Intro to nonstandard analysis



Props on "inf. #s" should have ∞

- less than any $\oplus \#$
- greater than 0
- $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ should be an "inf. #"

Let \mathbb{R}^{IV} = set of sequences of reals, i.e. if $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{IV}}$,

then $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots)$

Represent real # $w \in \mathbb{R}$ in \mathbb{R}^{IV} by (w, w, w, w, \dots)

Arithmetic in \mathbb{R}^{IV} :

if $x = \underline{\quad}$, $y = \underline{\quad}$, then $Z \cdot (x+y) = (z, (x_1+y_1), \dots)$

$z = \underline{\quad}$

we $\exists r^{-1}$ st. $rr^{-1} = (1, 1, \dots)$

Look at $r \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{IV}}$ so $r = (0, 1, 1, 1, \dots)$

This is nonzero, so we would like it to be divisible to get $(1, 1, \dots)$

\Rightarrow problem is 0 is in there...

Q: How can we devise a way to treat $(0, 1, 1, \dots)$ like $(1, 1, \dots)$

A: We say that "almost all" ~~of~~ components are = 1.

\uparrow
define this

Def: Let I be a set. A filter \mathcal{F} over I is a subset of $\mathcal{P}(I)$

$\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$

$\mathcal{F} \times 2 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}, \forall B \in \mathcal{F} \quad (A \cap B \in \mathcal{F})$

$\mathcal{F} \times 3 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F} \quad \forall C \subseteq I \quad (A \subseteq C \subseteq I \rightarrow C \in \mathcal{F})$

Ex: Let $I = \{0, 1, 2\}$

$$\mathcal{P}(I) = \{ \emptyset, \{0\}, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\}, \{1, 2\} \}$$

Is

$\mathcal{F} = \{ \emptyset, \{0, 1, 2\} \}$ a filter?

No — why not? Fails Ax 3

Is

$\mathcal{F} = \{ \{0\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 2\}, \{0, 1, 2\} \}$ a filter?

~~Yes~~
Yes

Ex: If $I = \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{F}^{co} = \{ A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{N} \setminus A \text{ is finite} \}$ is a filter.

Why? First, $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}^{co}$ b/c ~~to~~ $\mathbb{N} \setminus \emptyset = \mathbb{N}$ is infinite. (Ax 1)

If $A, B \in \mathcal{F}^{co}$, then $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus B$ are finite.

Therefore, $A \cap B$ has ω -many elements, missing only those

in $(\mathbb{N} \setminus A) \cup (\mathbb{N} \setminus B)$, so $\mathbb{N} \setminus (A \cap B)$ is finite. (Ax 2)

Also if $A \in \mathcal{F}^{co}$ and $A \subseteq C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then C is bigger than A and so $\mathbb{N} \setminus C$ is smaller than finite set $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$. Thus $\mathbb{N} \setminus C$ is finite, so $C \in \mathcal{F}^{co}$.

Def: A filter \mathcal{G} with additional axiom

$$\text{Ax 4: } \forall A \in I (A \in \mathcal{G} \vee I \setminus A \in \mathcal{G})$$

is called an ultrafilter.

Ex: \mathcal{F}^{co} is not an ultrafilter since $\{0, 2, 4, \dots\} \notin \mathcal{F}^{co}$ (why?) and also

$$\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 2, 4, \dots\} = \{1, 3, 5, \dots\} \notin \mathcal{F}^{co} \text{ (why?)}$$

Ex: Let $i \in I$. The set $\mathcal{F}^i = \{A \subseteq I : i \in A\}$ is an ultrafilter.

Pf: $i \notin \emptyset \Rightarrow \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F}^i$ (Ax 1)

$A, B \in \mathcal{F}^i \rightarrow i \in A \cap B \Rightarrow A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}^i$ (Ax 2)

$A \subseteq C \subseteq I \Rightarrow i \in C$ so $C \in \mathcal{F}^i$ (Ax 3)

if $A \in \mathcal{F}^i$, then $I \setminus A \notin \mathcal{F}^i$ b/c $i \in A \rightarrow i \notin I \setminus A$ (Ax 4)

~~and~~ AND if $B \notin \mathcal{F}^i$ then $I \setminus B \in \mathcal{F}^i$ b/c $i \notin B \rightarrow i \in I \setminus B$

Def: We call \mathcal{F}^i the principal ultrafilter generated by i .

FACT: Principal ultrafilters won't help us define "almost all."
 — we need "nonprincipal ultrafilters" — their existence can be shown w/ Axiom of Choice... Cannot write them down!!

FACT: \mathcal{F}^{co} is a subset of any non-principal ultrafilter $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow$ no finite set exists in \mathcal{F}

Def: Let \mathcal{F} be nonprincipal ultrafilter over \mathbb{N} . We say $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ has Prop P almost everywhere if $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \text{ has prop } P\} \in \mathcal{F}$.
means the prop is true in ∞ -many components of x .

Ex — we say that $r = (0, 1, 1, \dots)$ "equals 1 a.e." because

$\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r_n = 1\} = \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ is infinite $\in \mathcal{F}$,

since $\{2, 3, 4, \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}^{\text{co}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$.

Notation: $\|\{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \text{ has prop } P\}\| = \|P(x)\|$

Ex: Let us describe a ^{positive} infinitesimal. Let $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be $\epsilon = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots)$

Then $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$, we express $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and we can say

$$\|\epsilon < r\| = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \epsilon_n < r_n\}$$

$= \{m, m+1, \dots\}$, where $\epsilon_m = \frac{1}{m}$ is first fraction $< r$.

Since $\forall r \in \mathbb{R} \exists m$ of this form, we see that $\|\epsilon < r\| \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}, r > 0$.

Ex: $w = (1, 2, 3, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is an inf # b/c $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|w - r\| \in \mathbb{Q}. \text{ In fact, } w = \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

Some problems

Notice if $x = (0, 1, 1, \dots)$ and $y = (1, 0, 1, 1, \dots)$, then

$$\|x - y\| = \{3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\} \in \mathbb{Q}$$

This ~~series~~ is similar to how $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{4}$ represent "some" number, we write $x \equiv y$ when $\|x - y\| \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We fix this w/ equiv relation: define

$$[x] = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : z \equiv x\} \text{ and then the hyperreal #'s are the set } {}^*\mathbb{R} = \{[x] : x \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}\}.$$

Props of ${}^*\mathbb{R}$

- ① ${}^*\mathbb{R}$ is a field (can add, subtrg, mult, divide by nonzero elts)
- ② (Extensions) if $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ then $\exists {}^*A \subseteq {}^*\mathbb{R}$ called the (unique) extension of A and all $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ extend to (unique) ${}^*f: {}^*\mathbb{R} \rightarrow {}^*\mathbb{R}$

Def: ③ ("inf close") we say $x, y \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ are inf. close provided that $x - y$ is infinitesimal.

- ③ if $x \in {}^*\mathbb{R}$ is finite, then \exists exactly one $y \in \mathbb{R}$ so that x is inf. close to y . we say here that $y = st(x)$

- ④ (transfer) Sentences using quantifiers ~~of~~ over \mathbb{R} (not over subsets of \mathbb{R}) can be extended to sentences about ${}^*\mathbb{R}$.

Ex: Let $A = [0, 1]$.

$$\exists u \in \mathbb{R} \forall z \in \mathbb{R} (\forall x \in A (z \geq x) \rightarrow u \leq z)$$

(u is "least upper bound" of A)

\downarrow transfer

$$\exists u \in {}^*\mathbb{R} \forall z \in {}^*\mathbb{R} (\forall x \in {}^*A (z \geq x) \rightarrow u \leq z)$$

However

EX:

$$\forall x \in R \exists u \in R \forall z \in R (x \in A \wedge z \in x \rightarrow u \in z)$$

DOES NOT transfer b/c of 2nd order logic appearing