

Homework 2 – MATH 2510 Spring 2019

1. Determine whether the statement is a tautology, a contradiction, or neither by constructing a truth table.

a. $(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$

Solution:

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $\neg P \vee Q$ | $P \wedge Q$ | $(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|
| T | T | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | F | F |
| F | T | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | T |

Therefore, the formula $(\neg P \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

b. $(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$

Solution:

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $\neg Q$ | $\neg P \vee \neg Q$ | $P \wedge Q$ | $(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ |
|-----|-----|----------|----------|----------------------|--------------|--|
| T | T | F | F | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | T | F | T |
| F | T | T | F | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | T | T | F | T |

Therefore, the formula $(\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ is a tautology.

c. $P \rightarrow (P \vee Q)$

Solution:

| P | Q | $P \vee Q$ | $P \rightarrow (P \vee Q)$ |
|-----|-----|------------|----------------------------|
| T | T | T | T |
| T | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | T |
| F | F | F | T |

Therefore $P \rightarrow (P \vee Q)$ is a tautology.

d. $Q \leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q)$

Solution:

| P | Q | $P \wedge Q$ | $Q \leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q)$ |
|-----|-----|--------------|----------------------------------|
| T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F |
| F | T | F | T |
| F | F | F | T |

Therefore, the formula $Q \leftrightarrow (P \wedge Q)$ is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

2. Determine whether the two statements are propositionally equivalent or not by constructing a truth table.

a. P and $P \rightarrow P$

Solution:

| P | $P \rightarrow P$ | $P \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow P)$ |
|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T | T | T |
| F | T | T |

Since $P \leftrightarrow (P \rightarrow P)$ is a tautology, we may conclude that $P \rightarrow P$ is propositionally equivalent to P .

b. $P \rightarrow Q$ and $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$

Solution:

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $\neg Q$ | $P \rightarrow Q$ | $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$ | $(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ |
|-----|-----|----------|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| T | T | F | F | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | F | T | F |
| F | T | T | F | T | F | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | T | T |

Since $(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ is not a tautology, we conclude that $P \rightarrow Q$ is **not** propositionally equivalent to $\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$.

- c. P and $P \vee \neg P$

Solution:

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $P \vee \neg P$ | $P \leftrightarrow (P \vee \neg P)$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| T | T | F | T | T |
| T | F | F | T | T |
| F | T | T | T | F |
| F | F | T | T | F |

Since $P \leftrightarrow (P \vee \neg P)$ is not a tautology, we conclude that P is **not** propositionally equivalent to $P \vee \neg P$.

3. Determine whether or not the second statement is a propositional consequence of the first statement by constructing a truth table.

- a. $P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$ and Q

Solution:

| P | Q | $P \rightarrow Q$ | $P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$ | $(P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow Q$ |
|-----|-----|-------------------|------------------------------|--|
| T | T | T | T | T |
| T | F | F | F | T |
| F | T | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | F | T |

Since $(P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow Q$ is a tautology, we conclude that Q is a propositional consequence of $(P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q))$.

- b. $\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$ and P

Solution:

| P | Q | $\neg P$ | $P \rightarrow Q$ | $\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$ | $(\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow P$ |
|-----|-----|----------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| T | T | F | T | F | T |
| T | F | F | F | F | T |
| F | T | T | T | T | F |
| F | F | T | T | T | F |

Since $(\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)) \rightarrow P$ is not a tautology, we conclude that P is **not** a propositional consequence of $\neg P \wedge (P \rightarrow Q)$.

4. Show that D is a propositional consequence of $(D \vee O) \wedge \neg O$.

Solution:

| D | O | $\neg O$ | $D \vee O$ | $(D \vee O) \wedge \neg O$ | $((D \vee O) \wedge \neg O) \rightarrow D$ |
|-----|-----|----------|------------|----------------------------|--|
| T | T | F | T | F | T |
| T | F | T | T | T | T |
| F | T | F | T | F | T |
| F | F | T | F | F | T |

5. Show that $\neg C$ is a propositional consequence of $(C \rightarrow \neg D) \wedge D$.

Solution:

| C | D | $\neg D$ | $C \rightarrow \neg D$ | $(C \rightarrow \neg D) \wedge D$ | $((C \rightarrow \neg D) \wedge D) \rightarrow D$ |
|-----|-----|----------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| T | T | F | F | F | T |
| T | F | T | T | F | T |
| F | T | F | T | T | T |
| F | F | T | T | F | T |