

# MATH 2510 - EXAM 1 - SPRING 2018

## SOLUTION

8 February 2018  
Instructor: Tom Cuchta

### Instructions:

- Show all work, clearly and in order, if you want to get full credit. If you claim something is true **you must show work backing up your claim**. I reserve the right to take off points if I cannot see how you arrived at your answer (even if your final answer is correct).
- Justify your answers algebraically whenever possible to ensure full credit.
- Circle or otherwise indicate your final answers.
- Please keep your written answers brief; be clear and to the point.
- Good luck!

1. (15 points) Let  $X = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $Y = \{4, 6\}$ , and  $Z = \{1, 9\}$ .

(a) (3 points) Compute  $X \times Y$ .

*Solution:*  $X \times Y = \{(1, 4), (1, 6), (2, 4), (2, 6)\}$

(b) (3 points) Compute  $Z \times Y$ .

*Solution:*  $Z \times Y = \{(1, 4), (1, 6), (9, 4), (9, 6)\}$

(c) (3 points) Using your answers from above, what is  $(X \times Y) \cup (Z \times Y)$ ?

*Solution:*  $(X \times Y) \cup (Z \times Y) = \{(1, 4), (1, 6), (2, 4), (2, 6), (9, 4), (9, 6)\}$

(d) (3 points) Using your answers from above, what is  $(X \times Y) \cap (Z \times Y)$ ?

*Solution:*  $(X \times Y) \cap (Z \times Y) = \{(1, 4), (1, 6)\}$

(e) (3 points) Using your answer from above, is  $(X \times Y)$  a function?

*Solution:* No – it contains the points  $(1, 4)$  and  $(1, 6)$  and therefore fails the “vertical line test”.

2. (16 points) Formula or not? If not, circle the problem(s). Find all errors for full credit.

(a) (4 points)  $P \leftrightarrow (P \vee Q \rightarrow \neg P)$

*Solution:* Formula

(b) (4 points)  $(P(\neg) \wedge Q) \rightarrow \neg(P(\neg)Q)$

*Solution:* Not a formula

(c) (4 points)  $(\leftrightarrow)P \rightarrow Q$

*Solution:* Not a formula

(d) (4 points)  $P \leftrightarrow (P \vee Q \rightarrow \neg(\neg P))$

*Solution:* Formula

3. (7 points) Find a truth table for the formula  $P \leftrightarrow (P \vee \neg Q)$ .

*Solution:* Calculate

$P$	$Q$	$\neg Q$	$P \vee \neg Q$	$P \leftrightarrow (P \vee \neg Q)$
1	1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	1
0	1	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0

4. (7 points) Is  $(P \rightarrow Q) \equiv (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ ? Check it by making an appropriate truth table.

*Solution:* We know that to show a formula  $G$  is a consequence of a formula  $F$ , i.e.  $F \equiv G$ , it is sufficient to show that  $F \leftrightarrow G$  is a tautology. If it is not a tautology, then they are not equivalent. Compute

$P$	$Q$	$P \rightarrow Q$	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q$	$(P \rightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$
1	1	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	1	1

From this, we see that  $(P \rightarrow Q) \not\equiv (\neg P \rightarrow \neg Q)$ .

5. (12 points) Satisfiable or unsatisfiable?

(a) (6 points)  $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow \neg P)$

*Solution:* To check if it is satisfiable or not, it is sufficient to construct a truth table for the formula and observe if there is a “1” in its column. Compute

$P$	$Q$	$\neg P$	$Q \rightarrow \neg P$	$P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow \neg P)$
1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1

From this, we see that  $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow \neg P)$  is satisfiable.

(b) (6 points)  $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \leftrightarrow Q)$

*Solution:* To check if it is satisfiable or not, it is sufficient to construct a truth table for the formula and observe if there is a “1” in its column. Compute

$P$	$Q$	$\neg P$	$P \leftrightarrow Q$	$\neg P \leftrightarrow Q$	$(P \leftrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \leftrightarrow Q)$
1	1	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	1	0	0

From this, we see that  $(P \leftrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow (\neg P \leftrightarrow Q)$  is unsatisfiable.

6. (18 points) (a) (6 points) Consider the assignment  $\mathcal{A}(P) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}(Q) = 0$ . Does  $\mathcal{A} \models P \vee Q$ ?

*Solution:* Yes – this is because the “or” of a true thing (that is,  $P$ ) and a false thing (that is,  $Q$ ) is true.

(b) (6 points) Is the following correct to write?:  $\models P \wedge \neg P$ . Why or why not?

*Solution:* No. This is because  $P \wedge \neg P$  is a contradiction. To see that, compute

$P$	$\neg P$	$P \wedge \neg P$
1	0	0
0	1	0

(c) (6 points) Use a truth table to show that  $P \wedge Q \models P$ .

*Solution:* It is sufficient to show that  $P \wedge Q \rightarrow P$  is a tautology, i.e. in a truth table, that formula consists of a column of “1”s. Compute

$P$	$Q$	$P \wedge Q$	$P \wedge Q \rightarrow P$
1	1	1	1
1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1

From this we observe that  $P \wedge Q \rightarrow P$  is a tautology, and hence  $P \wedge Q \models P$ .

7. (25 points) (a) (12 points) Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{P, Q \vee \neg P\}$  and show that  $\mathcal{F} \vdash Q$  by writing a formal proof.

*Solution:* Write the proof!

<u>Statement</u>	<u>Justification</u>
1. $\mathcal{F} \vdash P$	Assumption
2. $\mathcal{F} \vdash Q \vee \neg P$	Assumption
3. $\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg P \vee Q$	$\vee$ -symmetry on line 2
4. $\mathcal{F} \vdash P \rightarrow Q$	$\rightarrow$ -definition on line 3
5. $\mathcal{F} \vdash Q$	$\rightarrow$ -elimination on lines 1 and 4

(b) (13 points) Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{P \leftrightarrow Q, \neg\neg P \rightarrow H, Q\}$  and show that  $\mathcal{F} \vdash H$  by writing a formal proof.

*Solution:* Write the proof!

<u>Statement</u>	<u>Justification</u>
1. $\mathcal{F} \vdash P \leftrightarrow Q$	Assumption
2. $\mathcal{F} \vdash Q \rightarrow P$	$\leftrightarrow$ -definition on line 1
3. $\mathcal{F} \vdash Q$	Assumption
4. $\mathcal{F} \vdash P$	$\rightarrow$ -elimination on lines 2 and 3
5. $\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg\neg P$	Double negation on line 4
6. $\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg\neg P \rightarrow H$	Assumption
7. $\mathcal{F} \vdash H$	$\rightarrow$ -elimination on lines 5 and 6

**Table 1.5** Basic rules for derivations

Premise	Conclusion	Name
$G$ is in $\mathcal{F}$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	Assumption
$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}'$	$\mathcal{F}' \vdash G$	Monotonicity
$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg\neg G$	Double negation
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F, \mathcal{F} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	$\wedge$ -Introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\wedge$ -Elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (G \wedge F)$	$\wedge$ -Symmetry
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$	$\vee$ -Introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G),$ $\mathcal{F} \cup \{F\} \vdash H, \mathcal{F} \cup \{G\} \vdash H$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash H$	$\vee$ -Elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (G \vee F)$	$\vee$ -Symmetry
$\mathcal{F} \cup \{F\} \vdash G$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$	$\rightarrow$ -Introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G), \mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash G$	$\rightarrow$ -Elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F)$	(,)-Introduction
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash F$	(,)-Elimination
$\mathcal{F} \vdash ((F \wedge G) \wedge H)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \wedge G \wedge H)$	$\wedge$ -Parentheses rule
$\mathcal{F} \vdash ((F \vee G) \vee H)$	$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G \vee H)$	$\vee$ -Parentheses rule

**Table 1.6** More rules for derivations

Rules	Name
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \vee G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{F} \vdash \neg(\neg F \wedge \neg G)$	$\vee$ -Definition
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$ if and only if $\mathcal{F} \vdash (\neg F \vee G)$	$\rightarrow$ -Definition
$\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \leftrightarrow G)$ if and only if both $\mathcal{F} \vdash (F \rightarrow G)$ and $\mathcal{F} \vdash (G \rightarrow F)$	$\leftrightarrow$ -Definition