

Pg. 86 #2 (translate to symbols)

$$\text{Premises: } \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\forall x)(Bx \rightarrow Sx) \\ (\exists x)(Px \wedge Sx) \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{conclu: } (\exists x)(Px \wedge Bx)$$

Pg. 86 #2 | Show that an argument that claims to deduce  
 $(\exists x)(Px \wedge Bx)$

from Premises

$$(\forall x)(Bx \rightarrow Sx) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\exists x)(Px \wedge Sx)$$

is not valid.

Solu: We define an interpretation:

$$U = \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

$$Bx = "x = -1"$$

$$Sx = "x \neq 1"$$

$$Px = "x = 0"$$

Then premises

$$(\forall x)(Bx \rightarrow Sx) \quad \rightsquigarrow (\forall x)(x = -1 \rightarrow x \neq 1)$$

and

$$(\exists x)(Px \wedge Sx) \quad \rightsquigarrow (\exists x)(x = 0 \wedge x \neq 1)$$

are true while the conclusion

$$(\exists x)(Px \wedge Bx) \quad \rightsquigarrow (\exists x)(x = 0 \wedge x = -1)$$

is false.

pg. 86 | #6 (translate)

$$\text{premises } \begin{cases} (\exists x)(Sx \wedge Hx) \\ (\exists x)(Sx \wedge \neg Bx) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{conclusion: } (\exists x)(Hx \wedge \neg Bx)$$

pg. 86 #6 | Show that an argument that claims to deduce

$$(\exists x)(Hx \wedge \neg Bx)$$

from the premises

$$(\exists x)(Sx \wedge Hx) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\exists x)(Sx \wedge \neg Bx)$$

is not valid.

Soln: We define an interpretation:

$$U = \{0, 1\}$$

$$Hx = "x=1"$$

$$Bx = "x=1"$$

$$Sx = "x \neq 0"$$

Then the premises

$(\exists x)(Sx \wedge Hx)$  is true (let  $x=1$ ) and

$(\exists x)(Sx \wedge \neg Bx)$  is true (let  $x=0$ ), but

the conclusion  $(\exists x)(Hx \wedge \neg Bx)$  is false.

pg. 86 #7 (translate)

$$\text{premises } \begin{cases} (\forall x)(Nx \rightarrow -Lx) \\ (\exists x)(Lx \wedge Cx) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{conclusion} = (\exists x)(Cx \wedge -Nx)$$

pg. 86 #7

From the premises

$$(\forall x)(Nx \rightarrow -Lx) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(\exists x)(Lx \wedge Cx)$$

prove

$$(\exists x)(Cx \wedge -Nx).$$

Soln:

{1}	(1) $(\forall x)(Nx \rightarrow -Lx)$	Premise
{2}	(2) $(\exists x)(Lx \wedge Cx)$	Premise
{2,3}	(3) $L\alpha \wedge C\alpha$	2 ES
{1}	(4) $N\alpha \rightarrow -L\alpha$	1 US
{1,3}	(5) $-(-L\alpha) \rightarrow -N\alpha$	4 Contraposition
{2}	(6) $L\alpha$	3 Simplification
{2}	(7) $-(-L\alpha)$	6 Double Negative
{1,2,3}	(8) $-N\alpha$	5 7 Detachment
{2}	(9) $C\alpha$	3 Comm. Law of $\wedge$ and Simplification
{1,2,3}	(10) $C\alpha \wedge -N\alpha$	8 9 Adjunction
{1,2}	(11) $(\exists x)(Cx \wedge -Nx)$	10 EG

86 #8 | (translate)

premises:  $\begin{cases} (\forall x)(P_x \rightarrow R_x) \\ (\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg R_x) \end{cases}$

conclusion:  $(\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg P_x)$

Pg. 86 #8

From the premises

$(\forall x)(P_x \rightarrow R_x)$  and

$(\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg R_x)$

prove

$(\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg P_x)$ .

Solution:

{1}	(1) $(\forall x)(P_x \rightarrow R_x)$	Premise
{2}	(2) $(\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg R_x)$	Premise
{2}	(3) $T_\alpha \wedge \neg R_\alpha$	2 ES
{1}	(4) $P_\alpha \rightarrow R_\alpha$	1 US
{1}	(5) $\neg R_\alpha \rightarrow \neg P_\alpha$	4 Contraposition
{2}	(6) $\neg R_\alpha$	3 Comm. law of $\wedge$ and Simplification
{1,2}	(7) $\neg P_\alpha$	5 6 Detachment
{2}	(8) $T_\alpha$	3 Simplification
{1,2}	(9) $T_\alpha \wedge \neg P_\alpha$	7 8 Adjunction
{1,2}	(10) $(\exists x)(T_x \wedge \neg P_x)$	9 EG